

Comments on AOR 2011-23



Brian Bubnash
<brian.bubnash@gmail.com>
11/10/2011 10:17 PM

To Secretary@fec.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Public Comment on AOR 2011-23

First we have Super PACs that can raise unlimited money as long as they don't collaborate with candidates (which I think is an awful idea by the way - for the good of the country please regulate Super PACs!), and now they are trying to break the one rule they have to follow. Why don't we just roll over and sign the country over to whoever has the most money to pay for "fully coordinated" advertisements that would "presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications'." I can't think of a single reason that allowing this proposal would be in the public interest. Shame on you if you allow it to go through.

Best Regards,
Brian Bubnash



STEPHEN LEACH
<leachstephen@bellsouth.net
>

11/10/2011 10:00 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov, info@colbertsuperpac.com
cc info@colbertsuperpac.com, leachkamama@gmail.com

bcc

Subject Super PAC Coordinate with Candidates

I would like to express my support for Karl Rove & America Crossroads in their effort to allow Super Pac's to coordinate with Candidates. We all know every elected official in Washington is bought and paid for by some Corporate interest or other. Why keep the thin veil of a wall up not allowing Super Pac's to coordinate with the Candidate they support? We know the Super Pac is buying the election for the candidate. The rule of not allowing the Super Pac to coordinate with the Candidate only clouds the issue. Eliminate the rule so we can all be clear about who is telling the candidate how to vote on legislation.



Daniel DuBoise
<duboi001@gmail.com>
11/10/2011 09:04 PM

To Secretary@fec.gov
cc
bcc
Subject karl rove's opinion request

Karl Rove:

Should be able to sponsor candidates without "coordinating" with them? That way the rest of us can buy candidates.

That sounds democratic, or capitalistic. It doesn't matter, because money is people too.



Diane Amschel
<damschel@hotmail.com>
11/10/2011 06:20 PM

To <secretary@fec.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject Reject Request from Carl Rove AOR 2011-23

Dear Secretary:

Please reject this request. We have the right to know and you have the duty to supply information on who is funding our candidates and their causes. We need transparency not more distortion.

Thank you,
Diane Amschel



solodoc solodoc
<solodoc007@yahoo.com>

11/10/2011 07:19 PM

Please respond to
solodoc solodoc
<solodoc007@yahoo.com>

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject Advisory Opinion Request



Americans For A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow

November 6, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow submits this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Republican political consultant and "Mr. November" in the 2012 "Hunks of the Bush Administration" calendar, Karl Rove.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has much in common with American Crossroads. Both are registered "independent-expenditure-only" PACs (a.k.a. "Super PACs") that may accept unlimited contributions from corporations, individuals, and doomsday cults we one day hope to found. Both groups are separately affiliated with prominent 501(c)(4) organizations, Colbert Super PAC SHH and Crossroads GPS, and are strongly committed to doing what is legally possible in America. Both have top strategic thinkers at their core: American Crossroads has Karl Rove, and Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has a ham loaf wearing wire rimmed glasses.

Because of this shared bond and heritage, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As we understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow could not concur more concurrently. These ads would simply improve public

perception of candidates in advance of the campaign. The message is not, "Vote for this great guy," it's merely, "Hey vote at this great guy!"

Clearly, these ads featuring candidates on behalf of candidates would not be candidate ads. As American Crossroads put their original Request,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012 would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow agrees that "fully coordinated" ads shouldn't be counted as "coordinated communications." The candidate would merely be appearing as a paid spokesperson, who, coincidentally, is closely aligned with the candidate that he or she also is.

For example, an ad in which the Kool Aid man decries our nation-wide childhood thirst problem would not necessarily be Kool Aid brand juice drink. That being said, would a tall glass of Kool-Aid solve that thirst problem? To quote one expert: "yeaaaaahhhh!"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow wholly endorses American Crossroads' Request. We hope the Commission to begin with the Supreme Court's definition of Non-Coordinated as "expenditures ... made totally independently of the candidate and his campaign" in *Buckley v. Valeo*, and end up with a ruling that allows outside groups to produce ads with the candidate's cooperation, themes, and message. That will prove to our nation's critics that America is a country that still makes some of the most strained rationalizations.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow believes that Super PACs can and should coordinate with candidates in the use of that word—except in the legal or biblical sense. In fact, pending the outcome of American Crossroads' Request, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow plans to coordinate a non-"coordinated" ad with presidential novelty candidate Buddy Roemer, a rough example of which can be found here: www.colbertsuperpac.com/undaunted-non-coordination.

It should be clear that there was no collusion with Governor Roemer, as he vehemently opposes and passionately questions the legality of the ad that he agreed to appear in.

If the Commission sees fit to grant these reasonable requests, Americans for a Better Tomorrow will continue to fulfill our mission to never give you up, or let you down, as detailed in our mission statement here: <http://www.paramountcommunication.com/ct/7061377:10303793691:m:1:276331897:004F16EA66E4089D6A52EB9-8A.r>

Accordingly, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow respectfully requests the Commission's timely consideration of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request.

Super Sincerely Yours,

Stephen Colbert
President & Maître D'
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

Ham Rove
Chief Strategist & Lunchmeat
Americans for A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

PS – If the commission does not see fit to grant this request fully, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow would like a compromise. To avoid the appearance of collusion, the F.E.C. could rule that candidates can appear in Super PAC ads against their will. They'd have to be kidnapped, blindfolded, and thrown in a van before being forced to read a statement supporting their goals and then returned to their fundraisers in time for dessert.

<p>Paid for by Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.</p>
--



Dan Weiss
<dankweiss@verizon.net>
11/10/2011 05:22 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject concern about Karl Rove's Opinion Request (which is AOR 2011-23)

Hello

My name is Daniel Weiss I am a 19 year old nursing student that lives in Abington PA and I just wanted to write an informal letter to you guys the FEC and get my voice out. I mean I should have every right corporations are spewing there bull\$#@t to me everyday and I'm more American than they are. First off I dont even see why super pacs exist in the first place but at least you gave Colbert one for the people, but that's not my point. (At least not till later!!) I Don't think that these masked corporations "super- Pacs" should have a bigger voice than I do (Like I said they aren't even Americans/PEOPLE). So why would I wanted them to support a candidate more than they already can???!! (super- pacs need to be changed down the line) Please dont give them more power over the masses than they already do! I hope someone at least reads this email, I hope other Colbert fans wrote in too, im gonna keep this email address so i can be more active. (Thank Stephen for getting the word about this, I hate how this whole super-pac thing was a secret before)

Thanks for your time
Talk to you soon
With love Weiss



David Roberts
<the-davester@msn.com>
11/10/2011 04:23 PM

To <secretary@fec.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

Please do not allow American Crossroads to get away with this Orwellian double speak---

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

Thank you for helping to protect what is left of our democracy.

David Roberts
Gold Beach, OR



Christian Munzner
<christianmunzner@gmail.com>
m>

11/10/2011 02:13 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov

cc

bcc

Subject American crossroads

Dear secretary I would like to cast my full support for coordinated, non-coordinated ads and political support that super pacs may not currently do under the law,i.e. the proposal under consideration put forth by the group american crossroads. I would also like to say I am aligned with americans for a better tommorow,tommorow. Thankyou,
Christian Munzner



"D Paul Smith"
<dpaulsmith@comcast.net>
11/10/2011 01:46 PM

To **<Secretary@FEC.gov>**
cc
bcc
Subject **Public Comment on AOR 2011-23**

Please tell Karl Rove to stop being so sneaky It's perfectly in haracter for him but doesn't seem to be working in the interest of the American People



Bill Polich
<bpolich@gmail.com>
11/10/2011 01:23 PM

To Secretary@fec.gov
cc
bcc
Subject American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Members of the Committee / Federal Election Commission ...

As a citizen who has watched the availability of hidden sources of funding for political advertising campaigns slowly but surely come to dominate and perhaps corrupt the American election process I am deeply concerned by the recent petition by American Crossroads regarding issue advertising.

It is imperative that election advertising be as uncompromised by special interest groups as possible and the best way to make this happen is through full disclosure of the sources of all monies used and holding said SuperPacs to strict standards as to what they can or cannot do.

Allowing SuperPacs, and here I mean any SuperPacs, conservative or liberal leaning, to chip away at the edges of the accepted and already abused limitations to what forms their advertising can take is inappropriate and goes against the very intent of the restrictions; restrictions put into place to protect the American voter from advertising distortions.

I would ask that you please reject the request put before you by American Crossroads and hold all SuperPacs to the standards currently in place and in fact consider tightening restrictions in those areas where abuses have and continue to occur.

Thank you.

Bill Polich



William Angell
<williamangell@hotmail.com>

11/10/2011 10:44 AM

To <secretary@fec.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject non-coordinated communication

A clarification is needed, so all Super Pacs can meet the letter of the law concerning your regulations, about the term "issues" in relation to the non-coordinated Super PAC ads. My dictionary lists nine definitions for this word.

Which of the nine definitions is the one your agency requires PACs to follow when it is used in reference to these non-coordinated ads. We must all follow the law, just as Karl Rove suggests.

Thank you,
Carolyn Angell



AlanG1216 G
<alang1216@hotmail.com>
11/10/2011 10:39 AM

To <secretary@fec.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

10 Nov 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463


Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

As the sarcasm in Colbert's email below makes plain, the whole idea of SuperPACs is anti-democratic. I agree and believe they should be restricted from any and all coordination or communication with any candidate or even potential candidate.

Thanks,
Alan Gerainick
Arlington, VA

Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2011 18:32:27 -0800
From: info@colbertsuperpac.com
To: alang1216@hotmail.com
Subject: Profiles In Undaunted Non-Coordination



Dear Colbert Super PAC Members (and semi-sentient spam-bots),

It's a bright day on the shadowy edge of American politics.

Yesterday, I was paid a visit by Trevor Potter, Esq. As you know, if you have been collecting the Official Colbert Super PAC Trading Cards know, Trevor was not only the former head of the F.E.C. and chief counsel to the McCain 2008 campaign, but he's also my personal lawyer*. Not to mention, someone I am proud to pay for the honor of calling my friend.

Together, we drafted an official Public Comment in support of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion

Request to the Federal Election Commission.

I'll let that sink in. It may take a bit, because you have no idea what I'm talking about. The full fiduciary details were laid bare in last night's show, but for those of you lacking the energy to click here for part one and here for part two, here's the gist:

As free as Super PACs are to raise and spend unlimited amounts of money, they are still unfairly shackled by regulation. Notice I used the singular. That's because there is really only one rule that binds Super PACs: that they may not coordinate with candidates' campaigns. But what fun is buying somebody an election if you have no elected official to share the moment with?

America Crossroads' Karl Rove saw through this sham of a charade – or "*shamrade*"™ – and petitioned the F.E.C. to clarify exactly what the law is, so he can carefully obey only the letter of it. We at Colbert Super PAC submitted the attached Public Comment to the Federal Election Commission in a show of non-coordinated, non-consensual support.

Incidentally, you might be interested in knowing that any person, be they corporate or biological, can submit their Public Comment on Karl Rove's Opinion Request (which is AOR 2011-23) by writing to Office of the Commission Secretary's email address (which is Secretary@FEC.gov).


We hope you'll join us at Colbert Super PAC in letting Karl Rove know: We've got your back. Because we're looking over your shoulder.

Excelsior!

Stephen Colbert
President and Junior Legal Counsel
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow

* If you are playing the Colbert Super PAC Trading Card Fantasy/Strategy Card Game, remember that Trevor gains a +4 defense against all frost-based creatures (including the dreaded Ice Dragon!)

Enclosure:



Americans For A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow

November 6, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow submits this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Republican political consultant and "Mr. November" in the 2012 "Honks of the Bush Administration" calendar, Karl Rove.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has much in common with American Crossroads. Both are registered "independent-expenditure-only" PACs (a.k.a. "Super PACs") that may accept unlimited contributions from corporations, unions, individuals, and doomsday cults we one day hope to found. Both groups are separately affiliated with prominent 501(c)(4) organizations, Colbert Super PAC SHH and Crossroads GPS, and are strongly committed to doing what is legally possible in America. Both have top strategic thinkers at their core: American Crossroads has Karl Rove, and Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has a ham loaf wearing wire rimmed glasses.

Because of this shared bond and heritage, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As we understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow could not concur more concurrently. These ads would simply improve public perception of candidates in advance of the campaign. The message is not, "Vote for this great guy," it's merely, "Hey voters! Look at this great guy!"

Clearly, these ads featuring candidates on behalf of candidates would not be candidate ads. As American Crossroads put it, in their original Request,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications.'"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow agrees that "fully coordinated" ads shouldn't be counted as "coordinated communications." The candidate would merely be appearing as a paid spokesperson, who, coincidentally, is closely aligned with the candidate that he or she also is.

For example, an ad in which the Kool Aid man decries our nation-wide childhood thirst problem would not necessarily be an ad for Kool Aid brand juice drink. That being said, would a tall glass of Kool-Aid solve that thirst problem? To quote one expert: "Oh, yaaaaahhhh!"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow wholly endorses American Crossroads' Request. We hope the Commission is able to begin with the Supreme Court's definition of Non-Coordinated as "expenditures ... made totally independently of the candidate and his campaign" in *Buckley v. Valeo*, and end up with a ruling that allows outside groups to produce ads with the candidate's cooperation, themes, and message. That will prove to our nation's critics that America is a country that still makes something: strained rationalizations.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow believes that Super PACs can and should coordinate with candidates in every sense of that word—except in the legal or biblical sense. In fact, pending the outcome of American Crossroads' Request, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow plans to coordinate a non-"coordinated" ad with presidential novelty candidate Buddy Roemer. A rough example of which can be found here: www.colbertsuperpac.com/undaunted-non-coordination.

It should be clear that there was no collusion with Governor Roemer, as he vehemently opposes and passionately questions the legality of the ad that he agreed to appear in.

If the Commission sees fit to grant these reasonable requests, Americans for a Better Tomorrow will continue to fulfill our promise to never give you up, or let you down, as detailed in our mission statement here:

<http://youtu.be/dQw4w9WgXcQ>

Accordingly, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow respectfully requests the Commission's timely consideration of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request.

Super Sincerely Yours,

Stephen Colbert
President & Maître D'
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

Ham Rove
Chief Strategist & Lunchmeat
Americans for A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

PS – If the commission does not see fit to grant this request fully, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow would like to offer a compromise. To avoid the appearance of collusion, the F.E.C. could rule that candidates can appear in Super PAC ads only against their will. They'd have to be kidnapped, blindfolded, and thrown in a van before being forced to read a statement supplanting their goals and then returned to their fundraisers in time for desert.

Paid for by Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow
Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.
www.colbertsuperpac.com

alang1216@hotmail.com

[click here](#)

[Update your preferences](#) [Unsubscribe](#)



Adair Byrd
<adair_byrd@hotmail.com>
11/10/2011 09:51 AM

To <secretary@fec.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject AOR 2011-23

Dear Office of the Commission Secretary's,

Please do not pass AOR 2011-23, Karl Rove's Opinion Request. You have already allowed big money to dominate elections with such legal devices as Super PACs. Why do more to harm this democracy?

Adair Byrd



Aaron Churchill
<aaronchurchill@mac.com>
11/10/2011 10:11 AM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

November 10, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow submits this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Republican political consultant and "Mr. November" in the 2012 "Hunks of the Bush Administration" calendar, Karl Rove.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has much in common with American Crossroads. Both are registered "independent-expenditure-only" PACs (a.k.a. "Super PACs") that may accept unlimited contributions from corporations, unions, individuals, and doomsday cults we one day hope to found. Both groups are separately affiliated with prominent 501(c)(4) organizations, Colbert Super PAC SHH and Crossroads GPS, and are strongly committed to doing what is legally possible in America. Both have top strategic thinkers at their core: American Crossroads has Karl Rove, and Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has a ham loaf wearing wire rimmed glasses.

Because of this shared bond and heritage, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As we understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member or Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow could not concur more concurrently. These ads would simply improve public perception of candidates in advance of the campaign. The message is not, "Vote for this great guy," it's merely, "Hey voters! Look at this great guy!"

Clearly, these ads featuring candidates on behalf of candidates would not be candidate ads. As

American Crossroads put it, in their original Request,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow agrees that "fully coordinated" ads shouldn't be counted as "coordinated communications." The candidate would merely be appearing as a paid spokesperson, who, coincidentally, is closely aligned with the candidate that he or she also is.

For example, an ad in which the Kool Aid man decries our nation-wide childhood thirst problem would not necessarily be an ad for Kool Aid brand juice drink. That being said, would a tall glass of Kool-Aid solve that thirst problem? To quote one expert: "Oh, yeaahhhh!"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow wholly endorses American Crossroads' Request. We hope the Commission is able to begin with the Supreme Court's definition of Non-Coordinated as "expenditures ... made totally independently of the candidate and his campaign" in *Buckley v. Valeo*, and end up with a ruling that allows outside groups to produce ads with the candidate's cooperation, themes, and message. That will prove to our nation's critics that America is a country that still makes something: strained rationalizations.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow believes that Super PACs can and should coordinate with candidates in every sense of that word—except in the legal or biblical sense. In fact, pending the outcome of American Crossroads' Request, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow plans to coordinate a non-"coordinated" ad with presidential novelty candidate Buddy Rodner. A rough example of which can be found here:
www.colbertsuperpac.com/undaunted-non-coordinated.

It should be clear that there was no collusion with Governor Roemer, as he vehemently opposes and passionately questions the legality of the ad that he agreed to appear in.

If the Commission sees fit to grant these reasonable requests, Americans for a Better Tomorrow will continue to fulfill our promise to never give you up, or let you down, as detailed in our mission statement here:
<http://youtu.be/dQw4w9WgXcQ>

Accordingly, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow respectfully requests the Commission's timely consideration of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request.

Super Sincerely Yours,

Stephen Colbert
President & Maître D'
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

Ham Rove
Chief Strategist & Lunchmeat
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

PS – If the commission does not see fit to grant this request fully, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow would like to offer a compromise. To avoid the appearance of collusion,

the F.E.C. could rule that candidates can appear in Super PAC ads only against their will. They'd have to be kidnapped, blindfolded, and thrown in a van before being forced to read a statement supporting their goals and then returned to their fundraisers in time for dessert.



"Jack"
<leithharbour@excite.com>
11/10/2011 08:35 AM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject AOR 2011-23

Shawn Woodhead Werth, Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

American Crossroads' tortured reasoning relative to the above indicated advisory opinion request appears to be yet one more attempt on behalf of those with all of the money to subvert what is left of the current laws relating to individual candidates' campaign financing. For the guardian of these laws in our beloved country to look the other way at this blatant attempt by some to undermine the foundation of democracy, one man, one vote, would be unconscionable. The United States Supreme Court has held in pertinent part that "expenditures [must be] ... made totally independently of the candidate and his campaign." *Buckley v. Valeo*. "Totally" being the operative word.

Regards,

John W. Spencer



"Edward R. Birnbaum"
<e.birnba@uscience.edu>
11/14/2011 08:28 AM

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject Rove petition AOR 2011-23

Sir,

I am writing in protest of the ridiculous request by Karl Rove in AOR 2011-23 to allow super-pac ads in support of candidates.

If this is allowed, we will have completed the goal of unrestricted ads for candidates for public office, and finally realized the secondary goal of allowing those with the most money buy any election.

Thanks for listening.

Ed Birnbaum

Phone: [REDACTED]
E-mail: e.birnba@uscience.edu

Note: this letter is my own personal opinion, and has no connection with the University of the Sciences.

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the use of the addressee hereof. If you have received this message in error, please promptly notify the sender by reply e-mail and immediately delete this message from your system. Thank you.



ggmry@comcast.net
11/10/2011 11:04 AM

To **Secretary@FEC.gov**
cc
bcc
Subject **Subversion attempt by Carl Rove**

I protest any weakening of SuperPAC regulation concerning coordination of issue ads with candidates.

Mary Gale

[REDACTED] Dighton St.

Camp Hill, PA 17011



William Smith
<birmotorsports@verizon.net
>

11/10/2011 11:37 AM

To Secretary@FEC.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Public Comment on AOR 2011-23

As a private citizen and person, which apparently is what every corporation in the Unites States can also claim (although they are exempt from most of the laws that govern us mere mortal persons), I would like to add my comment to AOR 2011-23, Karl Rove's Opinion Request. I, along with Stephen Colbert, think that it's just fine and dandy that you are satisfied that both political parties merely follow the letter of the law while skirting its intent. You are setting a fine example for the children and the future of America, and you should all be very proud. I look forward to the day that you sell yourself wholesale to the highest bidder, then sell us mere human citizens as slave labor to the Chinese. Long live Chairman Mao and the "free market"!

William Smith
[REDACTED] Lynncrest Rd.
Lutherville, MD 21093



Marty Dorio
<martydorio@gmail.com>
11/10/2011 03:42 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request



Americans For A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow

November 6, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow submits this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Republican political consultant and "Mr. November" in the 2012 "Hunks of the Bush Administration" calendar, Karl Rove.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has much in common with American Crossroads. Both are registered "independent-expenditure-only" PACs (a.k.a. "Super PACs") that may accept unlimited contributions from corporations, unions, individuals, and doomsday cults we one day hope to found. Both groups are separately affiliated with prominent 501(c)(4) organizations, Colbert Super PAC SHH and Crossroads GPS, and are strongly committed to doing what is legally possible in America. Both have top strategic thinkers at their core: American Crossroads has Karl Rove, and Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has a ham loaf wearing wire rimmed glasses.

Because of this shared bond and heritage, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As we understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow could not concur more concurrently. These ads would simply improve public perception of candidates in advance of

the campaign. The message is not, "Vote for this great guy," it's merely, "Hey voters! Look at this great guy!"

Clearly, these ads featuring candidates on behalf of candidates would not be candidate ads. As American Crossroads put it, in their original Request,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow agrees that "fully coordinated" ads shouldn't be counted as "coordinated communications." The candidate would merely be appearing as a paid spokesperson, who, coincidentally, is closely aligned with the candidate that he or she also is.

For example, an ad in which the Kool Aid man decries our nation-wide childhood thirst problem would not necessarily be an ad for Kool Aid brand juice drink. That being said, would a tall glass of Kool-Aid solve that thirst problem? To quote one expert: "Oh, yeaahhhh!"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow wholly endorses American Crossroads' Request. We hope the Commission is able to begin with the Supreme Court's definition of Non-Coordinated as "expenditures ... made totally independently of the candidate and his campaign" in Buckley v. Valeo, and end up with a ruling that allows outside groups to produce ads with the candidate's cooperation, themes, and message. That will prove to our nation's critics that America is a country that still makes something: strained rationalizations.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow believes that Super PACs can and should coordinate with candidates in every sense of that word—except in the legal or biblical sense. In fact, pending the outcome of American Crossroads' Request, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow plans to coordinate a non-"coordinated" ad with presidential novelty candidate Buddy Roemer. A rough example of which can be found here:www.colbertsuperpac.com/undaunted-non-coordination.

It should be clear that there was no collusion with Governor Roemer, as he vehemently opposes and passionately questions the legality of the ad that he agreed to appear in.

If the Commission sees fit to grant these reasonable requests, Americans for a Better Tomorrow will continue to fulfill our promise to never give you up, or let you down, as detailed in our mission statement here:
<http://youtu.be/dQw4w9WgXcQ>

Accordingly, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow respectfully requests the Commission's timely consideration of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request.

Super Sincerely Yours,

Stepher Colbert
President & Maître D'
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

Ham Rove
Chief Strategist & Lunchmeat
Americans for A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

PS - If the commission does not see fit to grant this request fully, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow would like to offer a compromise. To avoid the

appearance of collusion, the F.E.C. could rule that candidates can appear in Super PAC ads only against their will. They'd have to be kidnapped, blindfolded, and thrown in a van before being forced to read a statement supporting their goals and then returned to their fundraisers in time for dessert.

Paid for by Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow
Not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee.
www.colbertsuperpac.com

Marty Dorio
[REDACTED] Palma Blanca CT
Naples FL 34119-3368
[REDACTED] (cell)
[REDACTED] (toll free fax)
MartyDorio@gmail.com



Ereik Trawick
<Ereik.Trawick@cp-hl.com>

11/10/2011 07:41 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov

cc

bcc

Subject My support

November 6, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

**Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory
Opinion Request**

Dear Secretary Werth:

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow submits this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Republican political consultant and "Mr. November" in the 2012 "Hunks of the Bush Administration" calendar, Karl Rove.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has much in common with American Crossroads. Both are registered "independent-expenditure-only" PACs (a.k.a. "Super PACs") that may accept unlimited contributions from corporations, unions, individuals, and doomsday cults we one day hope to found. Both groups are separately affiliated with prominent 501(c)(4) organizations, Colbert Super PAC SHH and Crossroads GPS, and are strongly committed to doing what is legally possible in America. Both have top strategic thinkers at their core: American Crossroads has Karl Rove, and Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has a ham loaf wearing wire rimmed glasses.

Because of this shared bond and heritage, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow has an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As we understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of

Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow could not concur more concurrently. These ads would simply improve public perception of candidates in advance of the campaign. The message is not, "Vote for this great guy," it's merely, "Hey voters! Look at this great guy!"

Clearly, these ads featuring candidates on behalf of candidates would not be candidate ads. As American Crossroads put it, in their original Request,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow agrees that "fully coordinated" ads shouldn't be counted as "coordinated communications." The candidate would merely be appearing as a paid spokesperson, who, coincidentally, is closely aligned with the candidate that he or she also is.

For example, an ad in which the Kool Aid man decries our nation-wide childhood thirst problem would not necessarily be an ad for Kool Aid brand juice drink. That being said, would a tall glass of Kool-Aid solve that thirst problem? To quote one expert: "Oh, yaaaaahhhh!"

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow wholly endorses American Crossroads' Request. We hope the Commission is able to begin with the Supreme Court's definition of Non-Coordinated as "expenditures ... made totally independently of the candidate and his campaign" in *Buckley v. Valeo*, and end up with a ruling that allows outside groups to produce ads with the candidate's cooperation, themes, and message. That will prove to our nation's

critics that America is a country that still makes something: ~~strained~~ rationalizations.

Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow believes that Super PACs can and should coordinate with candidates in every sense of that word—except in the legal or biblical sense. In fact, pending the outcome of American Crossroads' Request, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow plans to coordinate a non-"coordinated" ad with presidential novelty candidate Buddy Roemer. A rough example of which can be found here:
[www.colbertsuperpac.com/undaunted-non-coordination](http://colbertsuperpac.com/undaunted-non-coordination).

It should be clear that there was no collusion with Governor Roemer, as he vehemently opposes and passionately questions the legality of the ad that he agreed to appear in.

If the Commission sees fit to grant these reasonable requests, Americans for a Better Tomorrow will continue to fulfill our promise to never give you up, or let you down, as detailed in our mission statement here:

<http://youtu.be/dQw4w9WgXcQ>

Accordingly, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow respectfully requests the Commission's timely consideration of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request.

Super Sincerely Yours,

Stephen Colbert
President & Maître D'
Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

Ham Rove
Chief Strategist & Lunchmeat
Americans for A Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow, Inc.

PS – If the commission does not see fit to grant this request fully, Americans for a Better Tomorrow, Tomorrow would like to offer a compromise. To avoid the appearance of collusion, the F.E.C. could rule that candidates can appear in Super PAC ads only against their will. They'd have to be kidnapped, blindfolded, and thrown in a van before being forced to read a statement supporting their goals and then returned to their fundraisers in time for dessert.



"Ruth" <rjl1@bellsouth.net>

11/10/2011 08:45 PM

To <Secretary@FEC.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject AOR 2011-23

Karl Rove's request to remove the single regulation on Super PACs which prohibits coordination with a candidate's campaign is as unfair to the American electorate and as unconscionable as the People United decision itself.

PACs anonymously broadcast, mailed and telephoned lies and obscene accusations that led to the defeat of honorable and able candidates in the 2010 NC state election. Please don't give these unscrupulous operators any more tools to distort the truth and mislead the public.

Ruth Laughlin

[REDACTED], Boone, NC 28607

[REDACTED]



billtilton@juno.com
11/11/2011 08:09 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject I oppose Karl Rove's request

I oppose Karl Rove's request.

Bill Tilton

[REDACTED] Wk

[REDACTED] H

[REDACTED] Cell

[REDACTED] 5th St. [REDACTED] St. Paul, MN 55101



Wallow Hollow
<wallowhollow@yahoo.com>

11/11/2011 03:27 PM

Please respond to
Wallow Hollow
<wallowhollow@yahoo.com>

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject AOR 2011-23 Public Comment on Karl Rove's Opinion
Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

I am submitting this e-mail as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011, by American Crossroads requesting to, in my opinion, violate the one and only regulation regarding superpacs - to collude with the candidates for the purposes of political advertising.

By their own request they intend to spend funds on advertisements that "would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012."

I urge the Commission to please keep the intent of the regulation intact and rule against America Crossroads in this matter.

Sincerely,

Briani Solberg-Bell

[REDACTED] Cedar St

Hillsboro, Oregon 97123

[REDACTED]



Bryan Harvey
<bryanharv@hotmail.com>
11/11/2011 12:28 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc Bryan Harvey <bryanharv@hotmail.com>
bcc
Subject Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Panel Request

You have got to be kidding me? Karl Rove, in his Advisory Panel Request, proposes the following:

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

How exactly, while taking the rules of the English language and definitions of its words into account, could this be a serious request. He presumes? How exactly? That's like me saying that "While I would conspire with others to create a massive drug cartel for the purpose of distributing crack it would presumably not qualify as 'conspiracy to sell drugs'". Is he kidding?

I would hope, considering the mess our political system is in due to the tremendous influence of moneyed individuals, lobbyists and corporations, that you would have the sense to respond to American Crossroads request with something like "are you freaking kidding us?". Any other response would be inappropriate to say the least. Please do your job and preserve what remains of our political system before our country is completely ruled by the "haves" at the expense of the "have nots".

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Bryan Harvey
Concerned Citizen

██████ Scott Street, ██████
San Francisco, CA 94115



Josh Manning
<Josh@LionTutors.com>
Sent by:
josh.manning7@gmail.com

To Secretary@FEC.gov

cc

bcc

11/10/2011 11:34 PM

Subject Karl Rove's Opinion Request - AOR 2011-23

Federal Election Commission,

Please make sure to ensure that all PAC and Super PAC money is only spent on non-coordinated campaigns. This is the only regulation that super PACs face. Please don't let Karl Rove and other super PAC leaders circumvent this legislation. I am concerned that they are going to push their limits and break the law to influence the election cycle.

Sincerely,

--

Josh Manning
Managing Partner
LionTutors LLC
www.LionTutors.com
[REDACTED] College Ave [REDACTED]
State College, PA 16801
Josh@LionTutors.com

This electronic message and any attachments are private communications and may contain confidential and privileged business and other information meant solely for the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, then delete the e-mail and any attachments from your system. Thank you



Anna Perea
<annamperea@gmail.com>
11/11/2011 07:58 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

November 11, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

My name is Anna Perea, and I am extremely concerned about the broad interpretive powers Super PACs have begun to achieve. I do not care which party is being supported by which limitless power, I find it all morally reprehensible. The willingness of Americans to ignore obvious corruption within our political system is appalling. Until legislation can be enacted to end the power of PACs and 501(c)(4) organizations your committee is the last line of defense for the American democracy. In regards to AOR 2011-23, I strongly urge you to advise against clearly coordinated communications, even when they are being sold as issue campaigns. If someone has violated that clause, the rules should be enforced as regulation permits, but it should not open the door to future violations from other parties. Please support the American people and our democracy.

Sincerely,

Anna Perea

██████████ Carlisle Blvd. ██████████
Albuquerque, NM 87110



Dana Holst
<holstdana@yahoo.com>

11/12/2011 11:16 AM

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Public Comment on Karl Rove's Opinion Request

The Citizens United ruling was a huge mistake.

The identity of an entity that pays for campaign advertising, etc., MUST be made public.

Mikki Weidman

██████████ Waterford Way

Lebanon PA 17042

Sent from Yahoo! Mail on Android



"marc remenar"
<mrudolf@lawyer.com>

11/12/2011 12:28 PM

To secretary@FEC.gov

cc

bcc

Subject AOR 2011-23 Public Comment on American Crossroads/Karl Rove's Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

I am submitting this e-mail as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011, by American Crossroads requesting to, in my opinion, violate the one and only regulation regarding superpacs - to collude with the candidates for the purposes of political advertising.

By their own request they intend to spend funds on advertisements that "would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012."

I urge the Commission to please keep the intent of the regulation intact and rule against American Crossroads in this matter.

Sincerely,

Marc Remenar

[REDACTED]
Vancouver, Washington 98666
[REDACTED]



lindamartin2005@comcast.net
11/13/2011 02:45 PM

To **Secretary@FEC.gov**
cc
bcc
Subject **Karl Rove's request for clarification of rules**

As an "ordinary" American, I emlore you to continue to work in the best interest of this country.

Mr. Rove understands the rules, he's just looking for a way to break them.

Stop the big money organizations that purchase votes in Washington DC (and accross the country.)

Thank you for your ETHICAL practices.

Linda Martin
[REDACTED] Elizabeth St.
Centennial, CO 80122

+



Kris Pagenkopf
<kris_pagenkopf@hotmail.com>

11/13/2011 03:24 PM

To <secretary@fec.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject RE: AOR 2011-23

I submit this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Republican political consultant, Karl Rove.

As an American voter, I have an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As I understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. The Request asks that "fully coordinated" ads shouldn't be counted as "coordinated communications."

I strongly disagree. Clearly, these ads featuring candidates on behalf of candidates would be candidate ads. These ads are and should be considered as "coordinated communications."

I strongly believe that Super PACs should not be allowed to coordinate with candidates, in any sense of the word "coordinate."

Accordingly, I respectfully request that you disapprove this request.

Kris Pagenkopf
[REDACTED] 7th Place
Gainesville, FL 32607



Robert Poshusta
<poshusta.r@gmail.com>

11/14/2011 12:02 AM

To **Secretary@FEC.gov**
cc
bcc
Subject **Campaigns**

The current campaign system of Super PACs is a joke. How can we say we have fair elections when it is becoming more and more about how much money you have and can generate? You can no longer turn on the TV with out know what a campaign ad is really about or what they actually want to do, it is all about marketing. You have oil and coal companies with lush forests in the back ground and well dressed individuals pushing an agenda for strip mining to destroy forests and pollute our air. The millions being thrown into these marketing campaigns are miss leading and Super PACs need to be eliminated or have more regulations to control them and make them more transparent so we can nt least see who is funding them.

--

Robert Poshusta

E-mail: Poshusta.R@gmail.com

Cell: [REDACTED]



Tori M
<torimac@yahoo.com>

11/14/2011 01:58 AM

Please respond to
Tori M <torimac@yahoo.com>

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject RE Super PAC Opinion Request AOR 2011-23

FEC Office of the Commission Secretary,

I want to keep the regulations stating that Super PACs may not coordinate with candidate's campaigns. As I understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

I urge the committee to deny the request of AOR 2011-23.

with kind regards,

Victoria Purnell,

Meridian Dr

Everett, WA 98208

(an independent voter with help from the Colbert Super PAC website.)



Suzie Baer
<suziebaer@me.com>
11/14/2011 10:10 AM

To **Secretary@FEC.gov**
cc
bcc
Subject **Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request**

November 14, 2011

Shawn Woodhead Werth
Secretary and Clerk
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

Dear Secretary Werth:

I submit this letter as a formal comment on the Advisory Opinion Request submitted to the Federal Election Commission on October 12, 2011, and again in a revised version on October 28, 2011 by American Crossroads, an organization led by Karl Rove.

As an American I have an interest in the Request by American Crossroads.

As I understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

It seems to me that these ads, featuring candidates on behalf of candidates, are in fact candidate ads. American Crossroads must be joking,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

America is watching!

I believe that Super PACs should not coordinate with candidates in any way.

I respectfully request the Commission's timely consideration of American Crossroads' Advisory Opinion Request.

Sincerely,

Suzie Baer



Mathew Snyder
<flyingcheetah92@gmail.com
>

11/14/2011 10:14 AM

To Secretary@fec.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comment

I think that the proposal by American Crossroads is absolutely outrageous! Super PAC's already have huge amounts of power and are only really confined by the fact that they cannot coordinate with candidates. By allowing "issue" ads to be coordinated with candidates this essentially allows super PACs to coordinate everything with candidates as it is such a grey area. In a time when money already plays much too large a role in politics allowing this change will only worsen our current situation.

Mathew Snyder



Don French
<dcfrench@gmail.com>

11/08/2011 11:13 PM

Please respond to
dcfrench@gmail.com

To Secretary@fec.gov

cc

bcc

Subject Comment on American Crossroads Advisory opinion request
(AOR 2011-23)

Dear Secretary Werth,

As we understand the American Crossroads Advisory opinion Request, the organization has plans to sponsor advertisements featuring Members of Congress up for re-election. As they wrote in their original Request,

"The purpose of these advertisements, while focused on current legislative and policy issues, would be to improve the public's perception of the featured Member of Congress in advance of the 2012 campaign season."

And as they said in their original request,

"While these advertisements would be fully coordinated with incumbent Members of Congress facing re-election in 2012, they would presumably not qualify as 'coordinated communications,'."

This request is an outrageous attempt to deceive the American People, to use the Super PACs in a way that is explicitly forbidden by law, and in turn to subvert the democratic principles upon which this great nation was formed.

Please roll this request by American Crossroads Advisory into a tight cylinder and shove it firmly up Karl Rove's ass. Thank you very much.

-- Don French



Caboose
<kfknoche@gmail.com>
11/08/2011 09:58 PM

To **Secretary@fec.gov**
cc
bcc
Subject **AOR 2011-23**

To Whom It May Concern :

Karl Rove's request is an obvious attempt to undermine democracy in our country.

Please consider this while making your consideration for his request.

And he sucks large monkey balls.

Sincerely,

Kyle knoche



Patrick K Hendry
<pat@worldsbetweenlines.com>
Sent by:
worldsbetweenlines@gmail.com

To: Secretary@FEC.gov
cc:
bcc:
Subject: Please read from a Utah native

11/09/2011 12:36 AM

Karl Rove can lick my balls

--

Patrick [P@] Hendry

Human Entrepreneur, Photographer, Web Developer, Marketing & SEO Specialist

P@ Productions and Development

Worlds Between Lines | [click here](#)

Personal Blog | [click here](#)

pat@worldsbetweenlines.com

"Every time I see an adult on a bicycle, I no longer despair for the human race." -H. G. Wells



Pearse Corcoran
<pearse.corcoran@gmail.com>

11/09/2011 09:43 AM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject American Crossroads

Hello,

I hope that you do not allow Super Pacs like American Crossroads to create "non-coordinated" ads that have a candidate in them pretending to convey an "issue". This is simply legal bullshit that these Super Pacs are trying to use in order to erode the laws that keep them from doing whatever they want.

Thank you,

Pearse



Stephanie Cimmarusti
<scimmarusti@gmail.com>
11/10/2011 02:18 PM

To Secretary@fec.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Carl Rove's Issue AOR 2011-23

From a person of no particular interest...

To you the rich & powerfull. Plense stop the bullshit. Our country is failing, you are wrong in so many ways. The poor keep getting poorer & there is no longer an "American Dream". As to this particular issue. Elections of who runs our country should have nothing to do with how much money a candidate has, or which party/super pak is backing them, but rather about the quality of the candidates involved as human beings & equal opportunity for all walks of american life to run for a possition that actually has a chance to change things for the better. Allowing Super Paks or any other monetary agency to controll which candidates make it to our bailot is beyond wrong. Whether opaque in their actions or transparent, Super Paks should not be allowed to use money as muscle in an ellection. There should be a platform on wich any citizen may have completely equal expcure to the masses so that they may choose who best to lead us. Do not allow candidates to apear in super pak issue endorsements. Do not allow super paks, esspecially paks which refuse to disclose the companies endorsing them, & their own pollitical desires, to influence even the issues we are voting on. Instead spend your efforts, and our tax dollars to build a platform where each citizen can see the whole of an issue & what a candidate stands for with out all the scheeming in between. Let us vote on the real problems directly without all the corruption. Things must change, or our country will not survive it. I hope you will take my words to heart, but fear everyone in power is beyond listening to the screaming of their people.

--

~ Stephanie



Peter <peter@uhsusa.com>

11/09/2011 02:07 PM

Please respond to
Peter <peter@uhsusa.com>

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Karl Rove AOR (2011-23)

Please attach my comment on Karl Rove's Opinion Request AOR (2011-23) shown below:

Mr Rove, you are a manipulative piece of crapola and I hope karma catches up to you some day.

Rookie!



William Maugeri
<nballer6@hotmail.com>
11/10/2011 09:26 PM

To <secretary@fec.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject American Crossroads

Dear FEC,

If you are going to allow Super PACs to raise unlimited and unchecked amounts of money at least let them have unlimited opportunities to contact the candidate they support. Hopefully Super PACs will one day be able to buy an election for an anti-regulatory president who will get rid of agencies like the FEC.

Wouldn't that be a kick in the nuts?

Your Friend,

William Maugeri



Joel Carnes
<joelcarnes@gmail.com>
11/09/2011 04:01 PM

To Secretary@fec.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Re: Comment on American Crossroads Advisory Opinion Request

You want my opinion on Karl Rove's opinion request? OK, here it is...

Who really gives a shit what you rule on this particular issue? It won't make any difference. The FEC is a sham and your "rules" are an insult to every American. The whole system is rigged, BY YOU, so that money can secretly be channeled to any candidate at any time.

You should be ashamed of yourselves.

Joel Carnes
Los Angeles, CA



Trevor Harding
<beerprof@gmail.com>
11/09/2011 02:44 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Public Comment on Karl Rove's Opinion Request (which is AOR 2011-23)

I'm not certain, but I think I may have received an STD from Karl "Ham Loaf" Rove while non-consensually coordinating with Rove's SuperPac, American CrossRoads - if you know what I mean.

I write in support of The Karl's (we are on a first name basis after our "coordinating") opinion request for modification to SuperPac regulation (Seriously, it was hot. You should see Karl with a honey glaze. Mmm Mmmm). It is time that all corporations have the same rights as people, wait they are people, or are people corporations? Shit its so confusing. Anyway, it's time that all corporations share the same rights as people to coordinate with half hamman ham loafs (you should see Karl in silk stockings and a garter belt wrapped around his little ham loaf head).

Liberty for all, and liver for ham loaves.

Hopefully you'll make the right decision, or we'll all be writing again, and again, and again . . . hell, I'm going to run out of agains. Have to have some of my comp. sci. buddies help me out with some automated ham loafing emails.

Trevor Harding
99% human, 1% ham loaf



"aircityryan@gmail.com"
<aircityryan@gmail.com>
11/08/2011 11:09 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject

Concerning AOR 2011-23, if you allow the ONE rule that super pacs have that keeps them from directly funneling anonymous money to political candidates to be circumvented by American crossroads, democracy will be the victim. But let's face it... This is just the icing on the shit sandwich that is our 2012 election circus..

I do not approve this message!

- Steven schell
Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4GLTE smartphone



Doug Smith
<commander@combatships.c
om>

11/10/2011 03:18 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov

cc

bcc

Subject super pacs

Karl Rove, You piece of shit, you are destroying American Democracy. Your name will go down in history as one of the evil people in America. Doug Smith



Ike Eichling
<undergroundlike@gmail.com>

11/10/2011 05:33 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject a better tommarrow....

I support the bogus support for the politi-skank Carl Rove and all he represents in non-representative governance. Heah, Like stephen says; goes for me too. Underground IKE (ps-- I'm a 64 yr old Vet , Small bussiness owner. and Kinda Methodist.)



nathan byars
<nathanbyars@yahoo.com>

11/09/2011 07:27 AM

Please respond to
nathan byars
<nathanbyars@yahoo.com>

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>

cc

bcc

Subject Please Deny Karl Roves Request

We all know that the PACs have amplified the voices of the already easily heard. Please deny Karl Rove's request. His head looks like a potted ham. And his intent is purely driven by selfish desires, not the desire to do right by our nation. He is a bloodsucking leach on the tit of the American Political Propaganda wars. (A flair for the dramatic I know.) But seriously, not only did the Robertson court make a historically bad decision in the face of a 100 years of court law, but the influence of PACs will get even worse if you listen Karl Rove. So please deny. PACs are the greatest tragedy in American politics to date and it will only get worse with Karl Rove's request.



Munib Sana
<munibsana@gmail.com>
11/09/2011 12:15 AM

To "Secretary@FEC.gov" <Secretary@FEC.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject American crossroads

Colbert SuperPAC has just alerted me that Karl Rove, you know, the guy who looks like a hamloaf with glasses, wants to know exactly how he CAN NOT communicate with candidates' campaigns so that he CAN devise another more legal but equally detrimental way to buy our candidates' political souls and set our country's agenda to take on big issues like man on man dicksucking and not letting involuntarily slutty rape victims receive abortions. Hence, I also would like some clarification on these rules so that Colbert SuperPAC can legally buy our nations precious cspan primetime political floor time and use it to talk about very pressing matters close to my heart (although probably nobody else's, but fuck 'em right? we've got all the moolah greasing the right palms, and theyz po' n don't have shit except the right to vote for my corrupt ass politician who will do what I tell him or the straight cash homey ain't gonna be yo homey no mo') like:

1. A college football playoff
2. Underground hip hop
3. If Barney Stinson were a real man he wouldn't use condoms because it just feels more AWESOME bro!

Munib (not a Mexican dish, this is my real name)

Sent from my iPhone



John Zander
<zander571@gmail.com>
11/09/2011 12:16 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject America Crossroads

Sir or Madam,

Because of the horrendous decisions by congress and the courts, the overt money-laundering operations such as Karl Rove's America Crossroads and Dick Armey's FreedomWorks have become the bane of political discourse in this country, and every effort must be made to restrain them until the laws are finally returned to sanity where this kind of corporation to 501(c) to campaign thru "issue ads" is treated as ruthless criminal behavior.

Please do not allow Karl Rove to continue to make a mockery of the true meaning of "freedom of speech."

And, if you fail once again to defend the Constitution, I hope the expanding power and fame of Stephen Colbert's Colbert Super PAC eventually makes you feel like a smuck.

Sincerely,

John Zander



"Fader49@gmail.com"
<fader49@gmail.com>
11/08/2011 10:26 PM

To Secretary@FEC.gov
cc
bcc
Subject Karl Rove

Karl Rove is a GIANT DUSH!

Sent from my Verizon Wireless Phone